



Republic of the Philippines  
**CAVITE STATE UNIVERSITY-CCAT**  
Cavite College of Arts and Trades Campus  
Rosario, Cavite  
☎ (046)437-9505 / 📠 (046)437-6659  
cvsu.rosario@gmail.com  
www.cvsu-rosario.edu.ph

November 30, 2020

**JOSE P. LISAMA, EdD.**  
Campus Administrator  
This Campus

**Thru: PROF. NESTOR M. ALVAREZ**  
Director AFS/BAC CHAIRMAN

Sir:

Upon evaluation of the Bid Documents (Technical and Financial Components) submitted, the Technical Working Group findings is shown and listed in the tables 1 and 2. Moreover, schedule of activities are listed in table 1.

**TWG POST QUALIFICATION REPORT FOR THE 2<sup>ND</sup> POSTING AND OPENING OF BIDS**

Name of Project	: <b>Construction of CvSU-CCAT Welcome Arch</b>
Location	: <b>Cavite State University- CCAT Campus</b>
Ref. No.	:
Brief Description	: <b>Welcome Arch with vertical clearance height of 4.5 meters with LED Monitor, Panel Steel frame covered with Aluminium Composite Panel and Stainless Markers</b>
Approved Budget For the Contract	: <b>PhP 2,118,348.00</b>
Contract Duration	: <b>90 calendar days</b>
Date	: <b>November 26, 2020</b>
Time	: <b>2:00 pm</b>

**Table 1. Activities**

ACTIVITIES	REMARKS
1. RDI Construction was the sole for the Project The conference started at 2:00 PM	Submitted his BID Documents on the prescribed time.
2. BID Documents submitted were examined by BAC Members. Eligibility and Technical Documents were subjected to evaluation by the TWG and BAC Members.	1. Documents submitted were not properly indexed as to the guide/list provided 2. Incomplete listing of Construction Equipment intended to complete the project. 3. Lacks licensed and expert fabricators/welders for the Project 4. No identical, completed or on-going project presented to the BAC and TWG members. Most of the projects mentioned in their documents are Deepwell Drilling and Construction of buildings 5. Misrepresentation of authorized representative from RDI was noticed upon the SPA verification. Mr. Rodolfo T. Carino attended the opening of bids instead of Mr. Erwin Buenaventura as declared in the SPA in behalf of the company. 6. Both committees had a short caucus meeting and afterwards declared for a "Failure of the Second Bidding" for the Welcome Arch Project
3. The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 PM	The Second Opening of bids adjourned after clarifications from both parties ended.


**Table 2. Findings:**

1. Due to misrepresentation of authorized appointed person to represent RDI construction and non-compliance to the minimum documentary requirements, insufficient proof to construct and implement the offered project in accordance with the specifications, the BAC Members and TWG Members unanimously declared the second bidding as "Failure" 2. It was recommended that re-posting of the project details in PHILGEPS be done in compliance to RA 9184 and its Implementing Rules and Regulations for second failed bidding and resort to "Negotiated Procurement" (IRR-A Sections 35.3 and 53.1).
---

It is recommended by the TWG that a reposting of the project for bidding in the PHILGEPS be conducted in order to invite other interested and qualified construction firms and join the bid activities as prescribed in the IRR of RA 9184 for Two failed bidding resulting to "Negotiated Procurement".

Very truly yours,

  
**ARIEL G. SANTOS, Ed.D**  
 TWG CHAIR

  
**JOHN LEO-VY P. VILLA**  
 TWG MEMBER

  
**WILLIAM P. LUSECO**  
 TWG MEMBER